

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/091,493	03/07/2002	Yuusuke Takamoto	381NT/44743TCO	2343	
7	590 04/28/2003				
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300			VANAMAN, FRA	VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3618		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/091,493 Applicant(s)

Takamoto et al.

Examiner

Vanaman

Art Unit 3618

		T I ADDIT FOR THE TOTAL PART THE TOT			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply	TO EVENES O MONTHUS FROM			
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	e stetutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
 Failure 	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	nis communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 16, 20	003			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This acti	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims	·			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 3, and 5-13	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🔯	Claim(s) 2, 3, and 5-13	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		4) [] to the last of the control of			
_	ntice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) [X] Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 70	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/091,493

Art Unit: 3618

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 2, 3, 5-7 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, line 3, the phrase "for driving the vehicle body when a brake pedal is depressed" appears contradictory; in claim 12, lines 5-6, it is not clear what is meant by "change information of motor position"; in claim 13, line 9, it is not entirely clear what is meant by a "present maximum holding time" (note claims 5 and 6 recite a "preset maximum holding time"-- either the term "preset maximum holding time" lacks a clear antecedent basis in claims 5 and 6, or claim 13 should be amended to change "present" to --preset--).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 2, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takamoto et al. (US 5,467,275). Takamoto et al. teach an electric vehicle having a body (1) and a motor (3) which drives vehicle wheels and which may further be used to hold the vehicle in a stopped position (col. 1, lines 59-63) even when pressure on a brake pedal is released, and having a first means (314/315) for calculating a torque (το) which corresponds to a brake operation quantity (b*) measured by a depression of the brake pedal (Xb) and a second means (311/313) which provides a positional control and torque instruction (τρ) which is fed to the motor to maintain the

Application/Control Number: 10/091,493

Art Unit: 3618

stopping position, wherein for a preset period corresponding to the time between an operator removing pressure from a brake pedal and applying pressure to an accelerator pedal, the constant positional control remains active (while Sp=ON; note col. 5, lines 21-27), and further where an option is provided such that under operation of a switch (913) the vehicle is allowed to move a distance from the held position and again stopped (note col. 12, lines 7-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 3, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto et al. Takamoto et al. teach an electric vehicle having a body and a motor which drives vehicle wheels and which may further be used to hold the vehicle in a stopped position even when pressure on a brake pedal is released, and having a first means for calculating a torque which corresponds to a brake operation quantity measured by a depression of the brake pedal and a second means which provides a positional control and torque instruction which is fed to the motor to maintain the stopping position, wherein for a preset period corresponding to the time between an operator removing pressure from a brake pedal and applying pressure to an accelerator pedal, the constant positional control remains active, and further where an option is provided such that under operation of a switch the vehicle is allowed to move a measured distance from the held position and again stopped. The reference of Takamoto et al. fails to teach the operation of a switch which allows the motion of the vehicle through a measured distance as associated with the application of pressure to the brake pedal.

Hands-free control of vehicle functions aside from steering is generally well known, and as such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the actuation of the measured distance moving function in association with the vehicle

Application/Control Number: 10/091,493

Art Unit: 3618

brake pedal, active under the condition that the positional control mode is in operation (i.e., only when Sp=ON), to allow control of this function without the need for the driver to remove his or her hands from the steering wheel.

- 7. Claims 5, 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto in view of Hotta (US 5,934,398, filed 08/1996). The reference of Takamoto et al. is discussed above and fails to teach the holding torque applied by the motor to hold the vehicle position as being reduced after a time period. Hotta teaches a vehicle motor control system for driving a motor (11) which determines a stopped state of the motor (71), for example while holding on a hill (col. 5, lines 38-52) and calculates a time period (72, 73) after which the current supplied to the motor is decreased (61) for preventing degradation of or damage to the switching transistors (21-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a timer and motor current (and thus motor torque) limiting device as taught by Hotta to the vehicle of Takamoto et al. for the purpose of preventing damage to the motor controller of Takamoto et al., for example while holding a constant position for a lengthy time period.
- 8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto as modified by Hotta as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Takahashi et al. (US 6,006,144, filed 08/1996). The references of Takamoto et al. and Hotta are discussed above and fail to teach the provision of an alarm for signaling the motor torque decrease. Takahashi et al. teach a vehicle control system provided with an alarm (10) for indicating an unanticipated condition associated with the vehicle driving condition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an alarm as taught by Takahashi et al. to the vehicle of Takamoto et al. as modified by Hotta for the purpose of alerting the user to

Application/Control Number: 10/091,493

Art Unit: 3618

the decrease in motor torque, in order to allow the user to activate another braking system (such as a friction brake) to prevent unanticipated vehicle motion.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto et al. in 9. view of Hotta and Siepker (US 5,916,062, filed 07/1997). The references of Takamoto et al. and Hotta are discussed above and fail to teach a hydraulic brake pressure device for holding the vehicle in a stopped position upon the decrease of holding torque delivered by the motor. Siepker teaches a hill-holding device which determines a braking pressure required to hold a vehicle in a stopped position and applies a corresponding braking force through a vehicle's existing hydraulic braking system (note col. 1, lines 54-58; col. 2, lines 19-28) by an independent actuating element separate from the user-operated brake pedal circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an independent actuator as taught by Siepker for actuating an existing friction braking system of the vehicle of Takamoto et al. as modified by Hotta, the independent actuator responsive to the operation of the current limiting device as taught by Hotta, for the purpose of providing a braking force from a source separate from the motor, such that during a reduction of motor torque due to overheating of he control transistors, the vehicle may remain stopped without user intervention, rendering the holding process transparent to the operator.

Conclusion

10. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application and are identical (in that they are unamended) to those finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the last Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/091,493

Art Unit: 3618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0424. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

As of May 1, 2003, any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 305-3597 or 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry; informal or draft communications may be faxed to the same number but should be clearly labeled "UNOFFICIAL" or "DRAFT")

The Office has also established electronic fax servers for Technology Center 3600 as follows:

703-872-9326 (Official communications) 703-872-9327 (Official After Final communications) 703-872-9325 (Customer Service)

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3618

F. Vanaman April 24, 2003

F1011/21/23